UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/784,914 02/16/2001		Sung-Oh Hwang	678-610 (P9712)	4434	
66547 THE FARREL	7590 06/05/2007 L LAW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER			
333 EARLE O	VINGTON BOULEVAI	MEW, K	MEW, KEVIN D		
SUITE 701 UNIONDALE,	NY 11553	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2616		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/05/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/784,914	HWANG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kevin Mew	2616	

		IZEALL INIGA	2010	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE R	EPLY FILED <u>11 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
tl p a ti	the reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on his application, applicant must timely file one of the follow laces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance me periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, af stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in see with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) [_	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la			
.	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or the TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
have be under 3 set forth may rec	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date en filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 7 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the solin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later luce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) E OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
fi a	the Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed DMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	hut prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecance
_	a) They raise new issues that would require further co			Codusc
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		50.017,	
	They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for
(6	d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	iected claims.	
•	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		,	
4. 🔲 ·	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
_	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		•	(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
=	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
n	on-allowable claim(s).	·	•	•
h	for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ow the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-		II be entered and an e	explanation of
	he status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: claim(s) allowed:			
	claim(s) objected to: <u>4-7 and 17-19</u> .			
	laim(s) rejected: <u>1-2, 15-16, 20 and 21</u> .			
	laim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•	
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
b	he affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and as not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
е	he affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ntered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o howing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessan	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ied.
11. 🛛	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. 🔲	Other:			

Applicant argued on page 1, last paragraph and page 2, third paragraph of the Remarks that the base station of Parsa does not perform any channel selection, the examiner respectfully disagrees. Applicant appears to only focus on col. 9, lines 14-25 and not on col. 16, lines 26-48 of Parsa, as indicated in the U.S.C. 102(e) rejection set forth on claims 1, 20-21 in the previous Office action. Parsa discloses on col. 16, lines 26-48 that the base station assigns CPCH channel to a selected mobile station by transmitting a base station collision detection preamble CD-AICH (transmitting the selected channel assignment signature to the UE). Therefore, Parsa clearly discloses performing channel selection. Likewise, the arguments made by applicant on page 3, third paragraph of the Remarks regarding claim 15 will be addressed by the similar response to the arguments of claim 1.

In light of the foregoing, claims 1, 20-21 stand rejected under 35 UJS.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Parsa et al. (USP 6,643,318) and claims 2, 9, 15-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Parsa in view of Kanterakis et al. (USP 6.169.759) .